

A Summation Formula Involving Fibonacci Digits

JEAN COQUET* AND PASCAL VAN DEN BOSCH

*Département de Mathématique, Université de Valenciennes,
F-59326 Valenciennes Cédex, France*

Communicated by H. Zassenhaus

Received October 4, 1983; revised January 11, 1984

A summation formula related to the Fibonacci expansion of integers is given.

© 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

I. INTRODUCTION

I.1. *A Result of Delange*

For every natural number n , $\sigma(n)$ denotes the sum of q -adic digits of n , where $q \geq 2$ is an integer. Generalizing a previous result of Trollope [3], Delange [1] proved:

THEOREM 1. *There exists a function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous, nowhere differentiable, of period 1, such that for any positive integer N ,*

$$\sum_{n < N} \sigma(n) = \frac{q-1}{2 \log q} N \log N + NF\left(\frac{\log N}{\log q}\right).$$

Moreover, he gave the Fourier expansion of F . Our purpose here is to prove, in a different way, a similar formula concerning the sum of Fibonacci digits.

I.2. *Fibonacci Expansion*

The Fibonacci numbers are defined by $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ and

$$F_{k+2} = F_{k+1} + F_k \quad \text{for every natural } k.$$

Every natural number n can be written

$$n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(n) F_k$$

* Professor Jean Coquet passed away on July 23, 1984.

with $a_k(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $a_k(n) a_{k+1}(n) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and the expansion is unique. From now on, $s(n)$ denotes the sum of Fibonacci digits of n :

$$s(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(n).$$

We set

$$S(N) = \sum_{n < N} s(n)$$

and for the sake of simplicity,

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

Our result is:

THEOREM 2. *There exists a function $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous, nowhere differentiable of period 1, such that for any positive integer N ,*

$$S(N) = \frac{3 - \alpha}{5 \log \alpha} N \log N + NG \left(\frac{\log N}{\log \alpha} \right) + O(\log N).$$

II. EXISTENCE AND CONTINUITY OF G

II.1. Four Lemmas

LEMMA 1. (1) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_k = ((5 + \sqrt{5})/10) \alpha^k + ((5 - \sqrt{5})/10) \bar{\alpha}^k$.

(2) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S(F_k) = \left(\frac{k}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} \right) \alpha^k + \left(\frac{k}{5} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} \right) \bar{\alpha}^k.$$

Proof. The first relation is well known. The second one is a consequence of

$$S(F_1) = 0, \quad S(F_2) = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S(F_{k+2}) &= S(F_{k+1}) + \sum_{n < F_k} s(n + F_{k+1}) \\ &= S(F_{k+1}) + S(F_k) + F_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. If $N = \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h F_h$ with $\varepsilon_v = 1$, then

$$\left| S(N) - \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h \alpha^h \left(\frac{h}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} + \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{h < k \leq v} \varepsilon_k \right) \right| = O(\log N)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} S(N) &= \sum_{h=1}^v \sum_{m < \varepsilon_h F_h} s \left(m + \sum_{h < k \leq v} \varepsilon_k F_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h \left(\sum_{m < F_h} s(m) + F_h \sum_{h < k \leq v} \varepsilon_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is thus a consequence of Lemma 1 and of

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h \bar{\alpha}^h \left(\frac{h}{5} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} + \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{h < k \leq v} \varepsilon_k \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{h=1}^v |\bar{\alpha}|^h \left(v + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} \right) = O(v). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now, we set $X = \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h \alpha^h$ and

$$S^*(X) = \sum_{h=1}^v \varepsilon_h \alpha^h \left(\frac{h}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} + \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{h < k \leq v} \varepsilon_k \right). \quad (1)$$

The following relations hold:

$$N = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} X + O(1) \quad (2)$$

and

$$S(N) = S^*(X) + O(\log N) \quad \text{from Lemma 2.} \quad (3)$$

LEMMA 3. There exists a function $H: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, of period 1, such that

$$S^*(X) = \frac{1}{5 \log \alpha} X \log X + XH \left(\frac{\log X}{\log \alpha} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\phi(X) = S^*(X) - ((X \log X)/(5 \log \alpha))$ from (1), we derive

$$\phi(\alpha X) - \alpha \phi(X) = S^*(\alpha X) - \alpha S^*(X) - \alpha X/5 = 0.$$

Therefore, $X \rightarrow X^{-1} \phi(X)$ is a function of $\log X / \log \alpha$ with period 1. \blacksquare

LEMMA 4. Any real number y such that $1 \leq y < \alpha$ can be written

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \alpha^{-j} \quad \text{with} \quad e_0 = 1, e_j \in \{0, 1\}, e_j e_{j+1} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, this expansion is unique if we require that there is an infinity of indices j such that $e_j = e_{j+1} = 0$.

Proof. This result is proved in the book of Galambos [2]. ■

If we put $y = \alpha^{-v} X$, it is plain that $1 \leq y < \alpha$. Thus, relation (4) holds. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} e_j &= 0 & \text{if } j \geq v, \\ &= e_{v-j} & \text{if } j < v. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

LEMMA 5. The function H is defined on the interval $[0, 1[$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\frac{\log y}{\log \alpha}\right) &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} - \frac{1}{5} \frac{\log y}{\log \alpha} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r - \frac{j}{5} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\frac{\log y}{\log \alpha}\right) &= H\left(\frac{\log X}{\log \alpha}\right) = -\frac{\log X}{5 \log \alpha} + \frac{S^*(X)}{X} \\ &= -\frac{\log y}{5 \log \alpha} - \frac{v}{5} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{h=1}^v e_h \alpha^{h-v} \left(\frac{h}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} + \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{h < k \leq v} e_k \right) \text{ from (1)} \\ &= -\frac{\log y}{5 \log \alpha} - \frac{v}{5} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} e_j \alpha^{-j} \left(\frac{v-j}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} + \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r \right) \text{ from (5)} \\ &= -\frac{\log y}{5 \log \alpha} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \alpha^{-j} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r - \frac{j}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

II.2. Continuity of H

We only prove the continuity of H on $]0, 1[$ and leave to the reader the (similar) proof of

$$H(0) = -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{25} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} H(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} H(t).$$

Thus, we only have to show the continuity of

$$y \in [1, \alpha[\rightarrow \psi(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \alpha^{-j} \left(\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r - \frac{j}{5} \right).$$

Let K denote a positive integer and let y and z satisfying

$$1 \leq y < z \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad z - y < \alpha^{-K}. \quad (6)$$

With the expansion defined in (4), for y , we also expand z and write

$$z = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j \alpha^{-j}.$$

Let us put

$$p = \inf\{j; d_j \neq e_j\} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{j=0}^p d_j \alpha^{-j}.$$

Clearly, $d_p = 1$, $e_p = 0$, and $y < w \leq z$. From (6) $\sum_{j > p} d_j \alpha^{-j} = z - w < \alpha^{-K}$, thus we have

$$p \geq K$$

or

$$p < K \text{ and } d_j = 0 \quad \text{for } p < j \leq K. \quad (7)$$

The inequality $w - y < \alpha^{-K}$ implies

$$\alpha^{-p} - \sum_{j > p} e_j \alpha^{-j} < \alpha^{-K}$$

hence

$$\sum_{r > 0} e_{p+r} \alpha^{-r} > 1 - \alpha^{p-K}$$

and we get

$$e_{p+2k} = 0, e_{p+2k+1} = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < \left\lfloor \frac{K-p}{2} \right\rfloor \quad (8)$$

where $[u] = \text{Max}\{m \in \mathbb{Z}; m \leq u\}$. From (7), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(z) - \psi(w)| &\leq \sum_{j > K} d_j \alpha^{-j} \left| \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r - \frac{j}{5} \right| \\ &< \sum_{j > K} j \alpha^{-j} = O(K \alpha^{-K}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $d_j = e_j$ for $j < p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w) - \psi(y) &= \alpha^{-p} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < p} e_r - \frac{p}{5} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j > p} e_j \alpha^{-j} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < j} e_r - \frac{j}{5} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{-p} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{r < p} e_r - \frac{p}{5} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-p-1-2k} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10} \left(\sum_{r < p} e_r + k \right) - \frac{p+1+2k}{5} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(8), (10), and (11) imply

$$|\psi(w) - \psi(y)| < 2 \sum_{j \geq K-1} j \alpha^{-j} = O(K \alpha^{-K}). \quad (12)$$

(9) and (12) give the continuity of ψ . Moreover, with a good choice of K , we get

$$|\psi(z) - \psi(y)| = O(|z - y| \text{Log}|z - y|).$$

From Lemma 5, H verifies

$$|H(t+h) - H(t)| = O(h \text{Log}|h|), \quad (13)$$

where the constant in O is independent of t and h . \blacksquare

II.3. The function G

From (2) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^*(X) &= \frac{1}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} N + O(1) \right) \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} N + O(1) \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} N + O(1) \right) H \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} N + O(1) \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{3 - \alpha}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} N \operatorname{Log} N + O(\operatorname{Log} N) + \frac{3 - \alpha}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} \operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha) \cdot N + O(1) \\
 &\quad + (3 - \alpha) NH \left(\frac{\operatorname{Log} N}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} + \frac{\operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha)}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{3 - \alpha}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} N \operatorname{Log} N + N \left(\frac{(3 - \alpha) \operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha)}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (3 - \alpha) H \left(\frac{\operatorname{Log} N}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} + \frac{\operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha)}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} \right) \right) + O(\operatorname{Log} N).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus G is the continuous function of period 1 defined by

$$G(t) = \frac{(3 - \alpha) \operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha)}{5 \operatorname{Log} \alpha} + (3 - \alpha) H \left(t + \frac{\operatorname{Log}(3 - \alpha)}{\operatorname{Log} \alpha} \right). \quad \blacksquare$$

III. G IS NOWHERE DIFFERENTIABLE

We have to show that ψ is nowhere differentiable. Let $y \in [1, \alpha[$. We put $y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \alpha^{-j}$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$y_m = \sum_{j < m} e_j \alpha^{-j}, \quad z_m = y_m + \alpha^{-1-m}, \quad z'_m = y_m + \alpha^{-2-m}. \quad (14)$$

It is clear that

$$|y - y_m| \leq \alpha^{-m}, \quad |y - z_m| \leq \alpha^{-m}, \quad |y - z'_m| \leq \alpha^{-m}. \quad (15)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(z_m) - \psi(y_m) &= \alpha^{-1-m} \left(\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{j < m} e_j - \frac{m+1}{5} \right) \\
 \psi(z'_m) - \psi(y_m) &= \alpha^{-2-m} \left(\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \sum_{j < m} e_j - \frac{m+2}{5} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\alpha^2(\psi(z'_m) - \psi(y_m)) - \alpha(\psi(z_m) - \psi(y_m)) = -\frac{\alpha^{-m}}{5}. \quad (16)$$

If ψ was differentiable in y , with $\psi'(y) = a$, (14) and (15) would imply

$$|\alpha^2(\psi(z'_m) - \psi(y_m)) - a\alpha^{-m}| = o(\alpha^{-m}),$$

$$|\alpha(\psi(z_m) - \psi(y_m)) - a\alpha^{-m}| = o(\alpha^{-m}),$$

a contradiction to (16). G is nowhere differentiable.

REFERENCES

1. H. DELANGE, Sur la fonction sommatoire de la fonction "somme des chiffres," *Enseign. Math.* **21** (1975), 31-47.
2. J. GALAMBOS, Representations of real numbers by infinite series, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 502, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1976.
3. J. R. TROLLOPE, An explicit expression for binary digital sums, *Math. Mag.* **41** (1968), 21-25.